arXiv:1412.3088v1 [math.FA] 9 Dec 2014

Filters and Matrix Factorization

Palle E. T. Jorgensen
Department of Mathematics, The University of Iowa,
Iowa City, IA52242, USA
jorgen@math.uiowa.edu

Myung-Sin Song
Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Southern Illinois University Edwardsville
Edwardsville, IL62026, USA
msong@siue.edu

Abstract

We give a number of explicit matrix-algorithms for analysis/synthesis in multi-phase filtering; i.e., the operation on discrete-time signals which allow a separation into frequency-band components, one for each of the ranges of bands, say N, starting with low-pass, and then corresponding filtering in the other band-ranges. If there are N bands, the individual filters will be combined into a single matrix action; so a representation of the combined operation on all N bands by an $N \times N$ matrix, where the corresponding matrix-entries are periodic functions; or their extensions to functions of a complex variable. Hence our setting entails a fixed $N \times N$ matrix over a prescribed algebra of functions of a complex variable. In the case of polynomial filters, the factorizations will always be finite. A novelty here is that we allow for a wide family of non-polynomial filter-banks.

Working modulo N in the time domain, our approach also allows for a natural matrix-representation of both down-sampling and up-sampling. The implementation encompasses the combined operation on input, filtering, down-sampling, transmission, up-sampling, an action by dual filters, and synthesis, merges into a single matrix operation. Hence our matrix-factorizations break down the global filtering-process into elementary steps. To accomplish this, we offer a number of adapted matrix factorization-algorithms, such that each factor in our product representation implements in a succession of steps the filtering across pairs of frequency-bands; and so it is of practical significance in implementing signal processing, including filtering of digitized images. Our matrix-factorizations are especially useful in the case of the processing a fixed, but large, number of bands.

Key words and phrases : Signals, image processing, algorithms, lifting, matrix factorization, Hilbert space, numerical methods, Fourier analysis.

2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification — Primary 18A32, 42C40, 46M05, 47B10, 60H05, 62M15, 65T60.

1 Introduction

Our purpose is to establish factorization of matrices $M_N(\mathcal{A})$ over certain rings $\mathcal{A}$ of functions, among them the ring of polynomials, and the L^∞ functions on the circle group $\mathbb{T}$. An equivalent formulation is the study of functions on $\mathbb{T}$ which take values in the $N \times N$ scalar matrices. The general setting is as follows: Fix N, and consider the group $SL_N(\mathcal{A})$ where the "S" is for determinant $= 1$. The object is then to factor arbitrary elements in $SL_N(\mathcal{A})$ as alternating products of upper and lower triangular matrix functions; equivalently, upper and lower triangular elements in $M_N(\mathcal{A})$ with the constant 1 in the diagonal.

In digital signal or image-processing one makes use of subdivisions of various families of signals into frequency bands. This is of relevance in modern-day wireless signal and image processing, and the choice of a number N of frequency bands may vary from one application to the next.

There is a certain representation theoretic framework which has proved successful: one builds a representation of the basic operations on signals, filtering, down-sampling (in the complex frequency variable), up-sampling, and dual filter. These operations get represented by a system of operators in Hilbert spaces of states, say $\mathcal{H}$.

A multiresolution (see Fig. 1) then takes the form of a family of closed subspaces in $\mathcal{H}$. In this construction, "non-overlapping frequency bands" correspond to orthogonal subspaces in $\mathcal{H}$; or equivalently to systems of orthogonal projections. Since the different frequency bands must exhaust the signals for the entire system, one looks for orthogonal projections which add to the identity operator in $\mathcal{H}$. This leads to the study of certain representations of the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_N$, details below. Since time/frequency-analysis is non-commutative, one is further faced with a selection of special families of commuting orthogonal projections. When these iteration schemes (repeated subdivision sequences) are applied to the initial generators, one arrives at new bases and frames; and, in other applications, to wavelet families as recursive scheme.

Our study of iterated matrix-factorizations are motivated by such questions from signal processing, and arising in multi-resolution analyses. In this case, elements in the group $SL_N(\mathcal{A})$ of matrix-functions act on vector-functions f in a complex frequency variable, where the components in f correspond to a specified system of N frequency-bands. When a matrix-factorization is established, then the action of the respective upper and lower triangular elements in $M_N(\mathcal{A})$ are especially simple, in that a lower triangular filter filters a low band, and then adds it to one of the higher bands; and similarly for the action of upper triangular matrix functions.

Our analysis depend on a certain representation of the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_N$, where $\mathcal{O}_N$ is an algebra generated by the basic operations on signal representations, filtering, down-sampling (in the complex frequency variable), up-sampling,

and dual filter; see Fig 1.

$$\sum_n b_n z^{nN} = b_0 + b_1 z^N + b_2 z^{2N} + \cdots \ ;$$

so

$$c_n = \begin{cases} b_{n/N} & \text{if } N|n \\ 0 & \text{if } N \nmid n \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{w \in \mathbb{T}, w^N = z} b_n w^n = b_0 + b_N z + b_{2N} z^2 + \cdots$$

2 Factorization Algorithm

In order to illustrate our use of representations of the Cuntz algebra O_N in algorithms for factorization, we begin with the case of $N = 2$. The skeleton of these algorithms has three basic steps which we now outline.

The Algorithm

Given

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathcal{F})$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is some fixed ring of functions defined on a subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathbb{T} \subset \Omega$.

Step 1:

Given

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad AD - BC \equiv 1 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{T},$$

and set

$$\mathcal{A}(z^2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A(z^2) + zB(z^2) \\ C(z^2) + zD(z^2) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

Let S_i, $i = 0, 1$ be

$$\begin{cases} S_0 f(z) = f(z^2) \\ S_1 f(z) = z f(z^2) \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

For the corresponding adjoint operators we therefore get:

$$\begin{cases} S_0^* f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\omega^2 = z} f(\omega) \\ S_1^* f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\omega^2 = z} \overline{\omega} f(\omega) \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where the summation in (2), (3) are over points $z, \omega \in \mathbb{T}$.

Then $(S_i)_{i=0,1}$ are isometries in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$, and $S_i^* S_j = \delta_{i,j} I$, $\sum_{i=0}^{1} S_i S_j^* = I$ where I denotes the identity operator in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{T})$. We will want $\mathcal{F}$ to be a ring of meromorphic functions, such that they are determined by their values on $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| = 1\}$; or we are simply working with functions on $\mathbb{T}$.

Step 2:

Find functions L such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} l & 0 \\ L & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{new} = \mathcal{A}. \tag{4}$$

Solution: Apply (4) to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \end{pmatrix},$$

and set

$$\mathcal{A}_{new}(z^2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_0(z) \\ f_1(z) \end{pmatrix};$$

then

$$\begin{cases} f_0 = A(z^2) + zB(z^2) \\ L(z^2) f_0(z) + f_1(z) = C(z^2) + zD(z^2). \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Apply S_i^*, $i = 0, 1$, to (5)

$$\begin{cases} S_0^* f_0 = A, \quad S_1^* f_0 = B \\ L S_0^* f_0 + S_0^* f_1 = C \\ L S_1^* f_0 + S_1^* f_1 = D. \\ \Rightarrow L = \frac{C - S_0^* f_1}{A}; \quad L = \frac{D - S_1^* f_1}{B}. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Corollary 2.1. $A(S_1^* f_1) - B(S_0^* f_1) = 1$.

Proof. Consider (6) with $det\mathcal{A} = 1$.

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

with $AD - BC = 1$. So

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ S_0^* f_1 & S_1^* f_1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathcal{F}).$$

We now assume $S_i \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}$, and $S_i^* \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}$, for all $i = 0, 1$. □

Step 3:

Having form L, from (4) we get

$$\mathcal{A}_{new} = \begin{pmatrix} l & 0 \\ -L & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -LA + C & -LB + D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ S_0^* f_1 & S_1^* f_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Step 4:

$$\begin{pmatrix} l & U \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{up} = \mathcal{A}_{new}.$$

Set

$$\mathcal{A}_{up}(z^2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_0(z) \\ g_1(z) \end{pmatrix};$$

and we get

$$\begin{cases} g_0(z) + U(z^2) g_1(z) = A(z^2) + B(z^2) z \\ g_1(z) = (S_0^* f_1)(z^2) + (S_1^* f_1)(z^2) z \end{cases}.$$

Apply S_i^*, $i = 0, 1$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} S_0^* g_0 + U S_0^* g_1 = A, \quad S_1^* g_0 + U S_1^* g_1 = B \\ S_0^* g_1 = S_0^* f_1, \quad S_1^* g_1 = S_1^* f_1 \\ \Rightarrow U = \frac{A - S_0^* g_0}{S_0^* f_1} \quad \text{and} \quad U = \frac{B - S_1^* g_0}{S_1^* f_1} \end{cases}$$

and continue.

$$S_0^* f_0 = A, \quad S_1^* f_0 = B$$

$$L S_0^* f_0 + S_0^* f_0 = C$$

$$L S_1^* f_0 + S_1^* f_0 = D$$

$$L = \frac{C - S_0^* f_1}{A} = \frac{D - S_1^* f_1}{B}$$

$$A(D - S_1^* f_1) = B(C - S_0^* f_1)$$

$$1 = A S_1^* f_1 - B S_0^* f_1$$

$$A_{new}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -L & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -LA + C & -LB + D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ S_0^* f_1 & S_1^* f_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

so

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ L & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ S_0^* f_1 & S_1^* f_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}.$$

3 Factorization Cases

In the infinite-dimensional group $SL_2(L^\infty(\mathbb{T}))$, consider elements $\mathcal{A}$ with factorization as in (7):

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ L & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^{(1)}, \quad L \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}), \quad \mathcal{A}^{(1)} \in SL_2(L^\infty(\mathbb{T})) \tag{7}$$

Optimal

$$\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(z^2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} A(z^2) + zB(z^2) = f_0(z) \\ C(z^2) + zD(z^2) = L(z^2)f_0(z) + f_1(z) \end{cases} \qquad \{S_i\}_{i=0} \in REP(\mathcal{O}_2, L^2(\mathbb{T})) \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{cases} S_0^* f_0 = A, S_1^* f_0 = B \\ LS_0^* f_0 + S_0^* f_1 = C \\ LS_1^* f_0 + S_1^* f_1 = D \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

$$\iff \begin{cases} S_0^* f_1 = C - LA \\ S_1^* f_1 = D - LB \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

$$\Rightarrow f_1 = (S_0 S_0^* + S_1 S_1^*) f_1 = S_0(C - LA) + S_1(D - LB) \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ S_0^* f_1 & S_1^* f_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since S_i is isometric for $i = 1, 2$.

$$\|f_1\|^2 = \|C - LA\|^2 + \|D - LB\|^2 \quad \text{where } \|\cdot\| \text{ is the } L^2(\mathbb{T})-\text{norm}. \tag{12}$$

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{u} v \quad \text{with respect to Haar measure on } \mathbb{T}. \tag{13}$$

So any functions

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ L & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^{(1)} \tag{14}$$

we pick the one with f_1 attaching its minimum in (12)

$$\inf\{(12) | \text{factorization (14) holds}\} \tag{15}$$

Calculating L on $\mathcal{A}$

$$L_M(\epsilon) = L + \epsilon M, \quad M \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}).$$

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0}(12) = 0 \quad \text{at a minimum.} \tag{16}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\langle MA, C - LA\rangle + \langle C - LA, MA\rangle + \langle MB, D - LB\rangle + \langle D - LB, MB\rangle$$
$$= Re(\langle MA, C - LA\rangle + \langle MB, D - LB\rangle) = 0 \quad \forall M \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}).$$

$$\overline{A}(C - LA) + \overline{B}(D - LB) = 0 \quad \text{pointwise a. e. on } \mathbb{T}. \tag{17}$$

Set $det\mathcal{A} = 1$,

$$\|A\|^2 + \|B\|^2 > 0 \quad \text{a. e. on } \mathbb{T}.$$

So

$$L = \frac{\overline{A}C + \overline{B}D}{|A|^2 + |B|^2} \quad \text{pointwise a. e. } \mathbb{T}. \tag{18}$$

Solving for matrices $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ in (16), we get

$$\mathcal{A}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -L & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C - LA & D - LB \end{pmatrix}.$$

So

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ L & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^{(1)}$$

With the above L in (18) we see that

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ L & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^{(1)}$$

is the optimal factorization with a lower matrix as a left-factor.

Corollary 3.1. *Given*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}));$$

then the optimal solution (18) to the factorization problem

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ L & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ S_0^* f_1 & S_1^* f_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{19}$$

has the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ S_0^* f_1 & S_1^* f_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

on the right hand side in (29) orthogonal, i.e.,

$$\overline{A}(S_0^* f_1) + \overline{B}(S_1^* f_1) \equiv 0 \quad \textit{on } \mathbb{T}. \tag{20}$$

Proof. When the function L in (18) is used in the computation of

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ S_0^* f_1 & S_1^* f_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we see that for any $z \in \mathbb{T}$, $((S_0^* f_1)(z), (S_1^* f_1)(z))$ in $\mathbb{C}$ is in the orthogonal complement of $(A(z), B(z))$; indeed with (18) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{A}(S_0^* f_1) + \overline{B}(S_1^* f_1) \\ &= \overline{A}\left(C - \frac{\overline{A}C + \overline{B}D}{|A|^2 + |B|^2} A\right) + \overline{B}\left(D - \frac{\overline{A}C + \overline{B}D}{|A|^2 + |B|^2} B\right) \\ &= \overline{A}C + \overline{B}D - (\overline{A}C + \overline{B}D) \equiv 0; \end{aligned}$$

i.e., a pointwise identity for functions on $\mathbb{T}$. □

Corollary 3.2. *If $\mathcal{A} \in SU(L^\infty(\mathbb{T}))$ (i.e., unitary) then L in (18) is 0 and so $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ so the factorization steps.*

Proof.

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix},$$

so unitary makes that the rows are orthogonal $\overline{A}C + \overline{B}D = 0$ in the inner product on $\mathbb{C}^2$

$$\langle z, w \rangle = \overline{z_1} w_1 + \overline{z_2} w_2$$

and $|A|^2 + |B|^2 = 1$. □

$$\mathcal{A}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ S_0^* f_1 & S_1^* f_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{21}$$

using $\longrightarrow$

$$\begin{pmatrix} S_0^* g_0 & S_1^* g_0 \\ S_0^* f_1 & S_1^* f_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note this using the repeated on any $\mathcal{A}^{(1)} \in SL_2(L^\infty(\mathbb{T}))$ each time pick L such that the infimum in (12) is attained.

With the same argument, we factor matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & U \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad U \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^{(2)}, \quad \mathcal{A}^{(2)} \in SL_2(L^\infty(\mathbb{T})). \tag{22}$$

Set

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_0 \\ g_1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(z^2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \end{pmatrix} \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{cases} A(z^2) + zB(z^2) = g_0 + U(z^2)g_1 \\ C(z^2) + zD(z^2) = g_1 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} A = S_0^* g_0 + U S_0^* g_1 \\ B = S_1^* g_0 + U S_1^* g_1 \\ C = S_0^* g_1, D = S_1^* g_1 \end{cases} \qquad S_0^* g_0 = A - UC, \quad S_1^* g_0 = B - UD \tag{24}$$

$$g_0 = S_0 S_0^* g_0 + S_1 S_1^* g_1 = S_0(A - UC) + S_1(B - UD)$$

$$\|g_0\|^2 = \|A - UC\|^2 + \|B - UD\|^2 \tag{25}$$

such that (35) holds.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A - UC & B - UD \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_0^* g_0 = A - UC, \quad S_1^* g_0 = B - UD.$$

Pick U such that

$$\overline{C}(A - UC) + \overline{D}(B - UD) = 0$$

$$U = \frac{\overline{C}A + \overline{D}B}{|C|^2 + |D|^2} \tag{26}$$

$$\mathcal{A}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} S_0^* g_0 & S_1^* g_0 \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \tag{27}$$

in (35).

If

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(L^\infty(\mathbb{T}))$$

then

$$U = \frac{\overline{C}A + \overline{D}B}{|C|^2 + |D|^2} = 0.$$

See (26) so the factorization

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^{(2)}$$

in (35) is then, $U = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}$. Then following factorization results:

$$\mathcal{A} = (\prod (lower)(upper)) SL_2(L^\infty(\mathbb{T}))$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \underset{\text{factor out lower matrix on the left}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ S_0^* f_1 & S_1^* f_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{28}$$

$$\underset{\text{factor out upper matrix on the left}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} S_0^* g_0 & S_1^* g_0 \\ S_0^* f_1 & S_1^* f_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Or equivalently,

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ L & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S_0^* g_0 & S_1^* g_0 \\ S_0^* f_1 & S_1^* f_1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{29}$$

Corollary 3.3. *Consider $\mathcal{A} \in SL_2(L^\infty(\mathbb{T}))$, and the factorization*

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ L_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ L_p & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U_p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S_0^* g_0 & S_1^* g_0 \\ S_0^* f_1 & S_1^* f_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{30}$$

resulting from an iteration of the algorithm from (29). Then the last factor in (30) is of diagonal form if and only if the following hold: There are functions $\varphi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ such that

$$g_0(z) = \varphi(z^2), \quad \text{and} \quad f_1(z) = z\psi(z^2); \tag{31}$$

and, in this case, the last factor in (30) is as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} S_0^* g_0 & S_1^* g_0 \\ S_0^* f_1 & S_1^* f_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & \psi \end{pmatrix}. \tag{32}$$

Proof. This follows from (29), and the Cuntz-relations:

$$S_i^* S_j = \delta_{i,j}, \quad \sum_i S_i S_i^* = I. \tag{33}$$

□

3.1 Factorizations

We fix a value of $N > 1$ (i.e., the given number of frequency bands), and we begin with the formula for a canonical system of N isometries S_i which define an associated representation of the Cuntz algebra O_N. Said differently: The system of isometries $\{S_i\}$ satisfies the Cuntz relations with reference to the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ where $\mathbb{T}$ is the circle group (one-torus) with its normalized invariant Haar measure. When the value of N is fixed, then the multi-resolution filters will then take the form of $N \times N$ matrix functions; the matrix entries might be polynomials, or, more generally, functions from $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. Hence the questions about matrix factorization depends on the context. In the case of polynomial entries we will make use of degree, but this is not available for the more general case of entries from the algebra $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. In every one of the settings, we develop factorization algorithms, and the particular representation of the Cuntz algebra will play an important role.

The standard representation of O_N, which we will use below, is given by the system of isometries $\{S_j\}$ as follows:

$$(S_j \varphi)(z) = f_j(z) \varphi(z^N). \tag{34}$$

Lemma 3.4. *[16] Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be given and let $F = (f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be a function system. Then $F \in \mathcal{OF}_N$ if and only if the operators S_j 34) satisfy*

$$S_j^* S_k = \delta_{j,k} I \tag{35}$$

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_N} S_j S_j^* = I, \tag{36}$$

where I denotes the identity operator in $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{T})$.

We say that the isometries $\{S_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_N}$ define a representation of the Cuntz-algebra $\mathcal{O}_N$, $(S_j) \in Rep(\mathcal{O}_N, L^2(\mathbb{T}))$.

Lemma 3.5. *[16] Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be fixed, $N > 1$, and let $A = (A_{j,k})$ be an $N \times N$ matrix-function with $A_{j,k} \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

(i) For $F = (f_j) \in \mathcal{F}_2(N)$, we have $F(z) = A(z^N)b(z)$.

(ii) $A_{i,j} = S_j^* f_i$ where the operators S_i are from the Cuntz-relations (35, 36).

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Writing out the matrix-operation in (i), we get

$$f_i(z) = \sum_j A_{i,j}(z^N) z^j = \sum_j (S_j A_{i,j})(z). \tag{37}$$

Using $S_j^* S_k = \delta_{j,k} I$, we get $A_{i,j} = S_j^* f_i$ which is (ii).

Conversely, assuming (ii) and using $\sum_j S_i S_j^* = I$, we get $\sum_j S_j A_{i,j} = f_i$ which is equivalent to (i) by the computation in (37) above. □

Theorem 3.6. *(Sweldens [25], [16]) Let $A \in SL_2(pol)$, then there are $l, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $K \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and polynomial functions $U_1, \dots, U_p$, $L_1, \dots, L_p$ such that*

$$A(z) = z^l \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U_1(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ L_1(z) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U_p(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ L_p(z) & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{38}$$

The filter algorithm corresponding to the matrix-factorization in (38) is as follows: And in steps:

Remark 3.7. [16] Note that if

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\text{pol}),$$

then one of the two functions $\alpha(z)$ or $\delta(z)$ must be a monomial.

3.2 The 2×2 case: Polynomials

[16] To highlight the general ideas, we begin with some details worked out in the 2×2 case; see equation (28).

To get finite algorithms, we should assume in the present subsection that the matrix-entries are polynomials.

First note that from the setting in Theorem 3.6, we may assume that matrix entries have the form $f_H(z)$ as in section 3 but with $H \subset \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$, i.e., $f_H(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \cdots$. This facilitates our use of the Euclidean algorithm.

Specifically, if f and g are polynomials (i.e., $H \subset \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$) and if $\deg(g) \leq \deg(f)$, the Euclidean algorithm yields

$$f(z) = g(z)q(z) + r(z) \tag{39}$$

with $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$. We shall write

$$q = quot(g, f), \quad \text{and} \quad r = rem(g, f). \tag{40}$$

Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & K^2U \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{41}$$

we may assume that the factor

$$\begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & K^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

from the equation (41) factorization occurs on the rightmost place.

$$F = U_N[b], \tag{42}$$

where U is a unitary matrix-function, where

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \\ z^2 \\ \vdots \\ z^{N-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and where $U_N[b](z) = U(z^N)b(z)$.

Let U represent scalar valued matrix entry in a matrix function. We now proceed to determine the polynomials $U_1(z), L_1(z), \cdots$, etc. inductively starting with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} B,$$

where U and B are to be determined. Introducing 42), this reads

$$A(z^2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U(z^2) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} B(z^2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U(z^2) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h(z) \\ k(z) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{43}$$

But the matrix function

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

is given and fixed see Remark 3.7. Hence

$$\gamma(z^2) + \delta(z^2)z = k(z) \tag{44}$$

is also fixed. The two polynomials to be determined are u and h in (43). Carrying out the matrix product in (43) yields:

$$\alpha(z^2) + \beta(z^2)z = h(z) + u(z^2)k(z) = h_0(z) + h_1(z^2)z + u(z^2)\{\gamma(z^2) + \delta(z^2)z\}$$

where we used the orthogonal splitting

$$L^2(\mathbb{T}) = S_0 S_0^* L^2(\mathbb{T}) \oplus S_1 S_1^* L^2(\mathbb{T}) \tag{45}$$

from Lemma 3.4. Similarly, from (44), we get

$$\gamma(z^2) + \delta(z^2)z = k_0(z^2) + k_1(z^2)z;$$

and therefore $\gamma = k_0$ and $\delta = k_1$, by Lemma 3.5.

Collecting terms and using the orthogonal splitting (45) we arrive at the following system of polynomial equations:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha = h_0 + u\gamma \\ \beta = h_1 + u\delta; \end{cases} \tag{46}$$

or more precisely,

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(z) = h_0(z) + u(z)\gamma(z) \\ \beta(z) = h_1(z) + u(z)\delta(z). \end{cases}$$

It follows that the two functions u and h may be determined from the Euclidean algorithm. With (41), we get

$$\begin{cases} u = quot(\gamma, \alpha) \\ h_0 = rem(\gamma, \alpha) \\ h_1 = rem(\delta, \beta). \end{cases} \tag{47}$$

Remark 3.8. [16] The relevance of the determinant condition we have from Theorem 3.6 is as follows:

$$detA = \alpha\delta - \beta\gamma \equiv 1.$$

Substitution of (46) into this yields:

$$h_0\delta - h_1\gamma \equiv 1.$$

Solutions to (46) are possible because the two polynomials $\delta(z)$ and $\gamma(z)$ are mutually prime. The derived matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_0 & h_1 \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

is obtained from A via a row-operation in the ring of polynomials.

For the inductive step, it is important to note:

$$deg(h_0) < deg(\gamma), \quad \text{and} \quad deg(h_1) < deg(\delta). \tag{48}$$

The next step, continuing from (43) is the determination of a matrix-function C and three polynomials p, q, and L such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -U \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ L & 1 \end{pmatrix} C \tag{49}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -U(z^2) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A(z^2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ L(z^2) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p(z) \\ q(z) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{50}$$

Here

$$\begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix} = C(z^2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \end{pmatrix}.$$

The reader will notice that in this step, everything is as before with the only difference that now

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ L & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is lower diagonal in contrast with

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & U \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

in the previous step.

This time, the determination of the polynomial p in (50) is automatic. With

$$p(z) = p_0(z^2) + zp_1(z^2)$$

(see (45)) and we get the following system:

$$\begin{cases} p_0 = \alpha - u\gamma = h_0 \\ p_1 = \beta - u\delta = h_1; \quad \text{and} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \gamma = L(\alpha - u\gamma) + q_0 = Lh_0 + q_0 \\ \delta = L(\beta - u\delta) + q_1 = Lh_1 + q_1 \end{cases}.$$

So the determination of $L(z)$ and $q(z) = q_0(z^2) + zq_1(z^2)$ may be done with Euclid:

$$\begin{cases} L = quot(\alpha - u\gamma, \gamma) = quot(h_0, \gamma) \\ q_0 = rem(\alpha - u\gamma, \gamma) = rem(h_0, \gamma) \\ q_1 = rem(\beta - u\delta, \delta) = rem(h_1, \delta). \end{cases} \tag{51}$$

Combining the two steps, the comparison of degrees is as follows:

$$\begin{cases} deg(q_0) < deg(h_0) < deg(\gamma) \\ deg(q_1) < deg(h_1) < deg(\delta) \end{cases} . \tag{52}$$

Two conclusions now follow:

(i) the procedure may continure by recursion;

(ii) the procedure must terminate.

Remark 3.9. In order to start the algorithm in (47) with direct reference to Euclid, we must have

$$deg(\gamma) \leq deg(\alpha) \tag{53}$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

is the initial 2×2 matrix-function.

Now, suppose (53), i.e., that

$$deg(\gamma) > deg(\alpha).$$

Then determine a polynomial L such that

$$deg(\gamma - L\alpha) \leq deg(\alpha). \tag{54}$$

We may then start the procedure (47) on the matrix function

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma - L\alpha & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -L & 1 \end{pmatrix} A.$$

If a polynomial U and a matrix function B is then found for

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma - L\alpha & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

then the factorization

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ L & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} B$$

holds; and the recursion will then work as outlined.

In the following, starting with a matrix-function A, we will always assume that the degrees of the polynomials $(A_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ have been adjusted this way, so the direct Euclidean algorithm can be applied.

3.3 The 3×3 case

The thrust of this section is the assertion that Theorem 3.6 holds with small modifications in the 3×3 case.

3.3.1 Comments:

In the definition of $A \in SL_3(\text{pol})$, it is understood that $A(z)$ has $detA(z) \equiv 1$ and that the entries of the inverse matrix $A(z)^{-1}$ are again polynomials.

Note that if L, M, U and V are polynomials, then the four matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ L & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & M & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ L & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & V \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & U \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{55}$$

are in $SL_3(\text{pol})$ since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ L & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & M & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -L & 1 & 0 \\ LM & -M & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \tag{56}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & U & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & V \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -U & UV \\ 0 & 1 & -V \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{57}$$

Theorem 3.10. *[16] Let $A \in SL_3(pol)$; then the conclusion in Theorem 3.6 carries over with the modification that the alternating upper and lower triangular matrix-functions now have the form (55) or (56)-(57) where the functions L_j, M_j, U_j and V_j, $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ are polynomials.*

3.4 The $N \times N$ case

Below we outline the modifications to our algorithms from the 2×2 case needed in order to deal with filters with $N(> 2)$ bands, hence factorization of $N \times N$ matrix functions. The main difference when the number of frequency bands N is more than 2 is that in our factorizations, both the lower and the upper triangular factors, must take into account operations which cross between any pair of the total system of N frequency bands.

Theorem 3.11. *[16] Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $N > 1$, be given and fixed. Let $A \in SL_N(pol)$; then the conclusions in Theorem 3.6 carry over with the modification that the alternative factors in the product are upper and lower triangular matrix-functions in $SL_N(pol)$. We may take the lower triangular matrix-factors $\mathcal{L} = (L_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$*

of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ L_p & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & L_{p+1} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & . & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & . & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & . & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & L_{N-1} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

polynomial entries

$$\begin{cases} L_{i,i} \equiv 1, \\ L_{i,j}(z) = \delta_{i-j,p} L_i(z); \end{cases} \tag{58}$$

and the upper triangular factors of the form $\mathcal{U} = (U_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_N}$ *with*

$$\begin{cases} U_{i,i} \equiv 1, \\ L_{i,j}(z) = \delta_{i-j,p} U_i(z). \end{cases} \tag{59}$$

Proof. **Notation.** Let $U_1, \cdots, U_N$, $L_1, \cdots, L_N$ be polynomials and set

$$\mathcal{U}_N(U) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & U_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & . & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & . & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & U_{N-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{60}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_N(L) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ L_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & L_2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & . & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & . & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & . & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & L_{N-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{61}$$

Note that both are in $SL_N(\text{pol})$; and we have

$$\mathcal{U}_N(U)^{-1} = \mathcal{U}_N(-U) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_N(L)^{-1} = \mathcal{L}_N(-L).$$

Step 1: Starting with $A = (A_{i,j}) \in SL_N(\text{pol})$. Then left-multiply with a suitably chosen $\mathcal{U}_N(-U)$ such that the degrees in the first column of $\mathcal{U}_N(-U)A$ decrease, i.e.,

$$deg(A_{0,0}) \leq deg(A_{1,0} - u_2 A_{1,0}) \leq \cdots deg(A_{N-1,0}). \tag{62}$$

In the following, we shall use the same letter A for the modified matrix-function.

Step 2: Determine a system of polynomials $L_1, \cdots, L_{N-1}$ and a polynomial vector-function

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \\ \cdots \\ f_{N-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

such that

$$A_N \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ z \\ z^2 \\ \cdots \\ z^{N-1} \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{L}_N(L)_N \begin{bmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \\ \cdots \\ f_{N-1} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{63}$$

or equivalently

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} A_{i,j}(z^N) z^j = \begin{cases} f_0(z) & \text{if } i = 0 \\ L_i(z^N) f_{i-1}(z) + f_i(z) & \text{if } i > 0 \end{cases}.$$

Step 3: Apply the operators S_j and S_j^* from section 3 to both sides in (63). First (63) takes the form:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} S_j A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} f_0 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ S_{f_{i-1}} L_i + f_i & \text{if } i > 0 \end{cases}.$$

For $i = 1$, we get

$$A_{1,j} = L_1 A_{0,j} + k_j \quad \text{where} \quad k_j = S_j^* f_1. \tag{64}$$

By (62) and the assumptions on the matrix-functions, we note that the system (64) may now be solved with the Euclidean algorithm:

$$\begin{cases} L_1 = quot(A_{0,j}, A_{1,j}) \\ k_j = rem(A_{0,j}, A_{1,j}) \end{cases} \tag{65}$$

with the same polynomial L_1 for $j = 0, 1, \cdots, N-1$.

For the polynomial function f_1 we then have

$$f_1 = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} S_j k_j; \tag{66}$$

i.e.

$$f_1(z) = k_0(z^N) + k_1(z^N) z + \cdots + k_{N-1}(z^{N-1}) z^{N-1}.$$

The process now continues recursively until all the functions $L_1, L_2, \cdots, f_1, f_2, \cdots$ have been determined.

Step 4: The formula (63) translates into a matrix-factorizations as follows: With L and F determined in (63), we get

$$A = \mathcal{L}_N(L)B \tag{67}$$

as a simple matrix-product taking $B = (B_{i,j})$ and

$$B_{i,j} = S_j^* f_i, \tag{68}$$

where we used Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5.

Step 5: The process now continues with the polynomial matrix-function from (67) and (68). We determine polynomials $U_1, \cdots, U_{N-1}$ and a third matrix function

$$C = (C(z)) = (C_{i,j}(z)) \quad \text{such that} \quad B = \mathcal{U}_N(U)C.$$

Step 6: As each step of the process we alternate L and U; and at each step, the degrees of the matrix-functions is decreased. Hence the recursion must terminate as stated in Theorem 3.11. □

3.5 $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$-matrix entries.

While the case $N = 2$ is motivated by application to the high-pass v.s. low-pass filters, may result for the $N = 2$ case carry over. To see this, we first define the Cuntz-algebra $\mathcal{O}_N$ in general the relations are

$$S_i^* S_j = \delta_{i,j} I, \quad \sum_i S_i S_i^* = I, \tag{69}$$

when the elements $(S_i)_{i=0}^{N-1}$ are given symmetrically.

Each case (69) has many representations; for example if $(m_i(z))_{i=0}^{N-1}$, $z \in \mathbb{T}$, is a system of filters corresponding to N frequency bands, we may obtain a representation of $\mathcal{O}_N$ acting on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ as follows

$$(S_i\psi)(z) = m_i(z)\psi(z^N), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}). \tag{70}$$

For $i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, N-1\}$, the adjoint operator of S_i in (70) is

$$(S_i^*\psi)(z) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{w^N = z} \overline{m_i(z)}\psi(z^N), \quad z \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{71}$$

A direct verification shows that the Cuntz-relation (69) are satisfied for the operators $(S_i)_{i=0}^{N-1}$ in (70) if and only if the system $(m_i)_{i=0}^{N-1}$ is a multi-band filter covering the N frequency bands.

The simplest example of the representation in (70) is the case where $m_i(z) = z^i$, $i = 0, 1, \cdots, N-1$; and so

$$(S_i\psi)(z) = z^i\psi(z^N), \quad i = 0, 1, \cdots, N-1, \quad z \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}). \tag{72}$$

Theorem 3.12. *Let $g = (g_{ij})_{i,j=0}^{N-1} \in SL_N(L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, i.e., $g_{ij}(\cdot) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}))$, and*

$$detg(\cdot) \equiv 1 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{T} \tag{73}$$

then for every factorization

$$g(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ L_1(z) & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ L_2(z) & 0 & 1 & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ L_{N-1}(z) & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g^{(new)}(z) \quad \textit{(matrix product)} \tag{74}$$

there is a unique $f_i \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ such that

$$g_{0,j}^{(new)}(z) = g_{0,j}(z), \quad \textit{and} \tag{75}$$

$$g_{i,j}^{(new)}(z) = S_j^* f_i, \quad \textit{for } i = 1, 2, \cdots, N-1 \tag{76}$$

where $\{S_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}$ is the system of Cuntz-isometries from (72).

Proof. With the arguments above, in the space $\mathcal{O}_N$ of $N = 2$, we now get matrix, the system:

$$g^{(new)}(z^N) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \\ z^2 \\ \vdots \\ z^{N-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_0(z) \\ f_1(z) \\ f_2(z) \\ \vdots \\ f_{N-1}(z) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{77}$$

$$\begin{cases} S_j^* f_0 = g_{0,j}, \\ L_i g_{0,j} + S_j^* f_i = g_{i,j}, \end{cases} \tag{78}$$

and

$$f_i = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} S_j S_j^* f_i = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} S_j (g_{i,j} - L_i g_{0,j}) \tag{79}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, N-1$, which is desired conclusion. □

3.6 Optimal factorization in the case of $SL_N(L^\infty(\mathbb{T}))$

Fix $N > 2$, and consider the usual inner product in $\mathbb{C}^N$,

$$\langle z, w \rangle := \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \overline{z_j} w_j, \tag{80}$$

defined for all $z = (z_0, \cdots, z_{N-1})$, and $w = (w_0, \cdots, w_{N-1})$.

For $g = (g_{ij}(z))_{i,j=0}^{N-1} \in SL_N(L^\infty(\mathbb{T}))$, set

$$\tilde{g_0}(z) = (g_{0j}(z))_{j=0}^{N-1}, \quad a.e.,$$

the first row in the matrix-function $\mathbb{T} \ni Z \mapsto (g(z)) \in SL_N(L^\infty(\mathbb{T}))$. Let $P(z) = P^{(g)}(z)$ denote the projection of $\mathbb{C}^N$ onto the one-dimensional subspace generated by $\tilde{g_0}(z) \in \mathbb{C}^N$.

Note that $(P(z))_{z\in\mathbb{T}}$ is a field of orthogonal rank-2 projection in $\mathbb{C}^N$. Setting

$$\|\tilde{g_0}(z)\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} |g_{0,j}(z)|^2, \tag{81}$$

we have:

$$P(z)\xi = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\overline{g_{0,j}(z)}\xi_j}{\|\tilde{g_0}(z)\|_2^2}\, g_{0,j}(z) \quad \text{for all } \xi = (\xi 0, \cdots, \xi_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^N; \tag{82}$$

and set

$$\tilde{g_j}^{(new)}(z) = \tilde{g_0}(z) - P(z)\tilde{g_j}(z). \tag{83}$$

Corollary 3.13. *(i) For the factorization (74) in Theorem 3.12, the optimal choice is that given by the matrix-factor $f^{(new)}$ having as rows the vector fields $\tilde{g_i}^{(new)}(z)$ specified in (83).*

(ii) With the resolution of row-vector fields,

$$\tilde{g_j}^{(new)}(z) = (S_0^* f_i, S_1^* f_i, \cdots, S_{N-1}^* f_i) \tag{84}$$

from (76), the optimal solution is attained; and it is the unique minimizer for the following system of optimization problems:

$$min_{f_i \in L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|f_i\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}}^2, \quad 1 \le i < N, \tag{85}$$

where each choice $(f_i)_{i=1}^{N-1}$ yields a matrix-factor $\mathcal{A}^{(new)}$ via (84).

Proof. The proof of the conclusions in (i)-(ii) in the corollary follows from the arguments in the proof of Theorem 3.12 above. □

References

[1] Fatma Abdelkefi. Performance of sigma-delta quantizations in finite frames. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 54(11):5087–5101, 2008.

[2] N. I. Akhiezer. *The classical moment problem and some related questions in analysis*. Translated by N. Kemmer. Hafner Publishing Co., New York, 1965.

[3] W. R. Bennett. Spectra of quantized signals. *Bell System Tech. J.*, 27:446–472, 1948.

[4] Ola Bratteli and Palle Jorgensen. *Wavelets through a looking glass*. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2002. The world of the spectrum.

[5] Ola Bratteli and Palle E. T. Jorgensen. Wavelet filters and infinite-dimensional unitary groups. In *Wavelet analysis and applications (Guangzhou, 1999)*, volume 25 of *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.*, pages 35–65. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

[6] John J. Benedetto, Onur Oktay, and Aram Tangboondouangjit. Complex sigma-delta quantization algorithms for finite frames. In *Radon transforms, geometry, and wavelets*, volume 464 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 27–49. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

[7] Chris Brislawn and I. G. Rosen. Group lifting structures for multirate filter banks, i: Uniqueness of lifting factorizations.

[8] Chris Brislawn and I. G. Rosen. Group lifting structures for multirate filter banks, ii: Uniqueness of lifting factorizations.

[9] Chris Brislawn and I. G. Rosen. Wavelet based approximation in the optimal control of distributed parameter systems. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, 12(1-2):33–77, 1991.

[10] X. X. Chen and Y. Y. Chen. Self-lifting scheme: new approach for generating and factoring wavelet filter bank. *IET Signal Process.*, 2(4):405–414, 2008.

[11] Ingrid Daubechies and Wim Sweldens. Factoring wavelet transforms into lifting steps. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 4(3):247–269, 1998.

[12] Yumin He, Xuefeng Chen, Jiawei Xiang, and Zhengjia He. Multiresolution analysis for finite element method using interpolating wavelet and lifting scheme. *Comm. Numer. Methods Engrg.*, 24(11):1045–1066, 2008.

[13] Kenkichi Iwasawa. On some types of topological groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 50:507–558, 1949.

[14] A. Jensen and A. la Cour-Harbo. *Ripples in mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. The discrete wavelet transform.

[15] Palle E. T. Jorgensen and Myung-Sin Song. Analysis of fractals, image compression, entropy encoding, Karhunen-Loève transforms. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 108(3):489–508, 2009.

[16] Palle E. T. Jorgensen and Myung-Sin Song. Matrix Factorization and Lifting. *Sampling Theory in Signal and Image Processing.*, 9:167–197, 2010.

[17] Wayne M. Lawton. Conjugate quadrature filters. In *Advances in wavelets (Hong Kong, 1997)*, pages 103–119. Springer, Singapore, 1999.

[18] Wayne Lawton. Infinite convolution products and refinable distributions on Lie groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 352(6):2913–2936, 2000.

[19] Wayne Lawton. Global analysis of wavelet methods for Euler's equation. *Mat. Model.*, 14(5):75–88, 2002. Second International Conference OFEA'2001 "Optimization of Finite Element Approximation, Splines and Wavelets" (Russian) (St. Petersburg, 2001).

[20] Wayne M. Lawton. Hermite interpolation in loop groups and conjugate quadrature filter approximation. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 84(3):315–349, 2004.

[21] B. W. K. Ling, C. Y. F. Ho, and J. D. Reiss. Control of sigma delta modulators via fuzzy impulsive approach. In *Control of chaos in nonlinear circuits and systems*, volume 64 of *World Sci. Ser. Nonlinear Sci. Ser. A Monogr. Treatises*, pages 245–270. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2009.

[22] M Lammers, A. M. Powell, and Özgür Yılmaz. Alternative dual frames for digital-to-analog conversion in sigma-delta quantization. *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 32(1):73–102, 2010.

[23] Peng-Lang Shui, Zheng Bao, and Yuan Yan Tang. Three-band biorthogonal interpolating complex wavelets with stopband suppression via lifting scheme. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, 51(5):1293–1305, 2003.

[24] Myung-Sin Song. Wavelet image compression. In *Operator theory, operator algebras, and applications*, volume 414 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 41–73. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.

[25] Wim Sweldens and Dirk Roose. Shape from shading using parallel multigrid relaxation. In *Multigrid methods, III (Bonn, 1990)*, volume 98 of *Internat. Ser. Numer. Math.*, pages 353–364. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1991.

[26] Wim Sweldens. The lifting scheme: a custom-design construction of biorthogonal wavelets. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 3(2):186–200, 1996.

[27] Wim Sweldens. The lifting scheme: a construction of second generation wavelets. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 29(2):511–546 (electronic), 1998.

[28] Walnut, D. F., *An Introduction to Wavelet Analysis*, (Birkhäuser, Boston, 2002).